

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Trial Date: October 10, 2017

1 Having considered all of the papers filed in connection with Defendants' Motion for  
2 Summary Judgment, Motion to Strike TS 96, and Daubert Motion, as well as the arguments  
3 presented by the parties at the hearing on this motion, the Court finds that Uber did not  
4 misappropriate TS 96, TS 96 is stricken for failure to identify the trade secret with sufficient  
5 particularity, and Waymo expert Dr. Hesselink's opinion on TS 96 should be excluded.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is  
7 GRANTED.

8  
9  
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11  
12 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP  
15 United States District Court Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28